

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,224	11/19/2007	J. Kevin Day	47675-074US0	1348	
22504 DAVIS WRICE	7590 10/05/201 HT TREMAINE, LLP/	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200			MUMMERT, STEPHANIE KANE		
SEATTLE, W	A 98101-3045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/05/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/581,224	DAY ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
STEPHANIE K. MUMMERT	1637					

	STEPHANIE K. MUMMERT	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SNI, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to - Failure to reply within the size or extended period for reply will by statute.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing samed patent term adjustment, See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-47 are subject to restriction and/or e						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No  ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary     Paper No/s/Mail Da					

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/00)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1637

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-38, drawn to a method of detection and/or differentiation between prostate cell proliferative disorders.

Group II, claim(s) 39-44, drawn to a nucleic acid.

Group III, claim(s) 45-46, drawn to a kit comprising reagents for methylation analysis and oligonucleotides.

Group IV, claim(s) 47, drawn to a use.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The first broadest product, the 9-mer oligomer of claim 42 does not provide a special technical feature that distinguishes over the claims. Instead, the sequence of the oligomer has been taught in the prior art. For example, Fodor et al. (US PgPub 2001/0053519; December 2001). Fodor teaches compositions comprising nucleic acids including every possible 10-mer (Abstract). Since Fodor teaches all possible 10-mers, this teaching which would include all of the 9-mer sequences as claimed in claim 42. Therefore, for at least this reason, the instant claims are not linked by a special technical feature that distinguishes over the art.

Art Unit: 1637

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

#### Group I

Gene or genomic sequence

A) SEQ ID NO: 1023, GSTP 1, PROSTAGLANDIN E2 RECEPTOR, HISTONE H4, RASSF1A, PR-DOMAIN ZINC FINGER PROTEIN 16, LIM DOMAIN KINASE 1, ORPHAN NUCLEAR RECEPTOR. N.-RSA2, SEQ ID NO: 11, SEQ ID NO:1028, LIM/HOMEOBOX PROTEIN LHX9, SEQ ID NO: 1116, SEQ ID NO: 1025, SEQ ID NO: 1020, LYSOSOMAL-ASSOCIATED MULTITRANSMEMBRANE PROTEIN, SEQ ID NO: 1019 and SEQ ID NO: 1027 (see claim 1 and 3)

B) SEQ ID NO: 1023, SEQ ID NO: 57, SEQ ID NO: 20, SEQ ID NO: 36, SEQ ID NO: 1171, SEQ ID NO: 51, SEQ ID NO: 31, SEQ ID NO: 24, SEQ ID NO: 11, SEQ ID NO: 1028, SEQ ID NO: 4, SEQ ID NO: 116, SEQ ID NO: 1025, SEQ ID NO: 1020, SEQ ID NO: 18, SEQ ID NO: 1019 and SEQ ID NO: 1027 (see claims 5, 14, 33)

C) SEQ 1D NO: 1043, 1044, 172, 173, 98, 99, 130, 131, 1172, 1173, 160, 161, 120, 121, 106, 107, 80, 81, 1051, 1052, 66, 67, 1117, 118, 1045, 1046, 1035, 1036, 94, 95, 1033, 1034, 1049, 1050 (see claims 7, 9, 12, 14, 19, 23, 29, 30, 31, 32)

Detection Step(s)

D) further regarding step d) of claim 14 – use of peptide nucleic acids (see claim 19-23 and 32), hybridizing nucleic acid bound to a solid phase or array (see claim 24-25), methylation specific primers (claim 28)

Number of PNA molecules

E) at least one (claim 9, 19, 23, ) at least two (claim 11, 12), at least four (claim 13).

### Group II

Treated Nucleic Acid

Art Unit: 1637

F) SEQ ID NO: 1 TO SEQ ID NO: 59, SEQ ID NO:1017 TO SEQ ID NO: 1028, SEQ ]:D NO: 1116 AND SEQ ID NO:1171 (see claims 38, 42)

## Group II & III

Treated Genomic DNA

G) SEQ ID NOs: 60-295, 1029-1076, 1117-1120, 1172 - 1175 (see claim 39, 45)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 2, 4

### REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

### WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or

Art Unit: 1637

(4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art,

Art Unit: 1637

the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be

Art Unit: 1637

amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHANIE K. MUMMERT whose telephone number is (571)272-8503. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephanie K. Mummert/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637